

TRENDS IN ANIMAL AND PLANT SCIENCES https://doi.org/10.62324/TAPS/2023.013 www.trendsaps.com; editor@trendsaps.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Insects as Allies: The Role of Beneficial Insects in Sustainable Agriculture

Hassan Bashir¹, *Ali Ammar², Shagufta Bashir³, Arbaz Hassan² and Muhammad Rashid⁴

¹Department of Agrotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sebelas Maret (UNS) in Surakarta, Indonesia ²Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan ³Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan ⁴Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Muhammad Nawaz Sharif University of Agriculture, Multan ***Corresponding author:** aliammar225@gmail.com

	Article History: 23-015	Received: 17-May-2023	Revised: 02-Jun-2023	Accepted: 08-Aug-2023
--	-------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

ABSTRACT

The role of beneficial insects in sustainable agriculture is increasingly recognized and researched. These insects serve as natural pest control agents, pollinators, and recyclers of organic matter, significantly enhancing agricultural productivity and ecosystem resilience. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the various roles beneficial insects play in agriculture, strategies to attract and maintain their populations, and their incorporation into Integrated Pest Management (IPM) schemes. It discusses the successful integration of these insects into diverse farming systems through global case studies. Furthermore, it explores the challenges faced in optimizing the use of beneficial insects, such as pesticide impacts, habitat loss, and climate change, and it also delves into the future directions of this field, including advanced insect rearing techniques, the potential of genetically modified insects, and adaptation strategies to climate change. The review concludes that fostering beneficial insect populations and understanding their ecology are key to promoting sustainable agriculture. As we navigate the future, it is essential to leverage scientific knowledge and adopt ethically sound practices to harness the full potential of these tiny allies.

Key words: Diversity, Behavior, Beneficial insects.

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable agriculture is a concept that has gained substantial prominence in recent years (Khalid & Amjad, 2018). It is often viewed as an antidote to the issues created by conventional farming practices which have been increasingly linked to environmental degradation and a loss of biodiversity. Fundamentally, sustainable agriculture represents a suite of practices and systems aimed at producing food, fiber, and other plant and animal products using farming techniques that protect the environment, public health, human communities, and animal welfare (Sustainable Agriculture Research & Education [SARE], 2021).

The fundamental goal of sustainable agriculture is to meet society's present food and textile needs without compromising the capacity of future generations to meet their own needs (Brundtland Commission, 1987). The scope of sustainable agriculture extends beyond the mere preservation of environmental resources, encapsulating a balance between key elements of economic viability, environmental health, and social and economic equity (Horlings & Marsden, 2011).

However, achieving this balance is a complex task, fraught with numerous challenges (Khalid & Amjad, 2018). Soil degradation, water scarcity, biodiversity loss, the threats of climate change, market volatility, and increased pressure to produce more food to feed the growing global population all pose significant hurdles (Tilman et al., 2002).

A major challenge facing agriculture is the effective management of pests and diseases (Razzaq et al., 2021; Zafar, Jia, et al., 2022). Agricultural pests, whether insects, weeds, or fungal pathogens, can lead to significant yield losses, endangering food security (Oerke, 2006). Conventional methods of managing these pests have often relied heavily on synthetic pesticides (Alam et al., 2021).

While effective in the short term, this approach has been associated with a host of environmental and health concerns. These include pollution of water resources, a

Cite This Article as: Bashir H, Ammar A, Bashir S, Hassan A and Rashid M, 2023. Insects as Allies: The Role of Beneficial Insects in Sustainable Agriculture. Trends in Animal and Plant Sciences 2: 17-24. https://doi.org/10.62324/TAPS/2023.013

decrease in biodiversity due to the harmful effects on non-target organisms, and increasing resistance of pests to these chemicals (Aktar et al., 2009).

In light of these challenges, there is an urgent need for a shift in our approach to pest management-one that aligns more closely with the principles of sustainable agriculture (Zafar, Mustafa, et al., 2022). One of the possible solutions that has emerged in recent years is the use of beneficial insects.

Beneficial insects offer an eco-friendly alternative to chemical pesticides. They include a wide variety of insects that provide ecosystem services beneficial to agriculture (Alam et al., 2021). These insects can be broadly classified into natural enemies of pests, such as predators, parasites, and pathogens that suppress pest populations, and pollinators that help in the reproduction of flowering plants, including many of our food crops (Letourneau et al., 2009).

The concept of using insects to control pests is not new; it has its roots in biological control practices that have been around for centuries (Hamza et al., 2018). However, the full potential of beneficial insects has not been realized in mainstream agricultural systems, which have largely been shaped by intensive monocultures and heavy pesticide use (Gurr et al., 2017).

This review seeks to bring beneficial insects to the forefront of the discussion on sustainable agriculture. It aims to highlight the myriad roles these insects play, their significance in the agroecosystem, and the benefits they offer in terms of pest control, pollination, and nutrient cycling. The review also aims to explore the potential of integrating beneficial insects into agricultural systems, and how such integrations can contribute to the wider goals of sustainability.

The potential of insects to contribute to sustainable agriculture is vast, yet relatively untapped. By working with nature rather than against it, we can turn the tide towards more resilient, sustainable, and productive agricultural systems. In an era where the call for sustainability is louder than ever, the recognition and utilization of beneficial insects can pave the way for a future where agriculture and nature coexist, not just sustainably, but synergistically.

Understanding Beneficial Insects

Beneficial insects are a diverse group of arthropods that provide valuable ecosystem services, particularly in agricultural systems. These services include biological pest control, pollination, and soil enrichment through decomposition and nutrient cycling (Kremen et al., 2002).

Biological diversity within the insect world is remarkable, with over a million described species representing more than half of all known living organisms (May, 1988). Among these, a significant number have been identified as beneficial for agricultural systems. These insects come in a myriad of forms and sizes, from tiny parasitic wasps that lay their eggs inside pest insects, to larger predatory beetles and spiders, to industrious pollinators such as bees and butterflies (Hamza et al., 2018).

Classification and Diversity of Beneficial Insects

Beneficial insects can be broadly classified based on the ecological services they provide: natural enemies and pollinators (Kamal et al., 2019). Natural enemies can further be categorized into predators, parasitoids, and pathogens.

Predators, such as ladybugs, spiders, and ground beetles, actively hunt and consume pest species. For instance, a single ladybug (Coccinellidae family) can consume hundreds of aphids in its lifetime, making it a valuable asset in controlling aphid populations in agricultural systems (Hodek & Honěk, 2009).

Parasitoids, primarily wasps and flies, have a more specialized life cycle. The females lay their eggs in or on the bodies of host pests. When the eggs hatch, the larvae consume the host, eventually leading to its death. An example of this is the parasitoid wasp (Aphidius colemani), which is effective in controlling aphid populations (Van Driesche et al., 2008).

Pathogens are insects that harbor diseases harmful to pests. Some species of flies and beetles are known to be vectors of fungal, bacterial, and viral diseases that can decimate pest populations (Furlong & Pell, 2001).

Pollinators, such as bees, butterflies, and some beetles and flies, play a crucial role in the reproduction of flowering plants, including many crops. Bees are perhaps the most well-known and important group of pollinators, contributing to the production of about onethird of the food we eat (Klein et al., 2007).

General Characteristics and Behavior of Beneficial Insects

The success of beneficial insects in pest control and pollination largely depends on their biological and behavioral characteristics. Predators typically exhibit a voracious appetite for pests and possess adaptations that aid in hunting. For example, the praying mantis has a flexible "neck" and powerful forelimbs adapted for capturing prey (Yager & Svenson, 2008).

Parasitoids, being more specialized, exhibit a complex array of behaviors related to host detection, host selection, and oviposition (Godfray, 1994). They also often display a high degree of host-specificity, which can be harnessed for targeted pest control.

Pollinators possess adaptations for accessing floral nectaries and carrying pollen. The relationship between pollinators and flowering plants often involves coevolution, leading to specialized morphological and behavioral adaptations in both (Johnson & Steiner, 2000).

In the larger scheme of sustainable agriculture, understanding the biology and behavior of beneficial insects allows for their strategic integration into farming practices. This understanding forms the basis for the design of biocontrol strategies and the creation of habitats to attract and retain these beneficial organisms.

Roles of Beneficial Insects in Agriculture

Beneficial insects serve as key allies in sustainable agricultural systems due to their multifaceted contributions that enhance productivity, foster ecosystem health, and limit the use of chemical pesticides. This section explores the critical roles played by these beneficial insects, categorized into biological control agents, pollinators, and decomposers.

Biological Control Agents

Biological control, otherwise known as biocontrol, revolves around harnessing the natural enemies of pests to regulate their populations, thus reducing the dependency on harmful chemical pesticides (Eilenberg et al., 2001). The biological control agents can be grouped into predators, parasitoids, and insect pathogens.

Predatory insects are essential players in managing pest populations. Lady beetles, lacewings, spiders, and praying mantises feed on a plethora of pests such as aphids, mites, caterpillars, and other small insects, mitigating potential crop damage (Symondson et al., 2002). Ground beetles, for instance, are particularly beneficial for their preference for slugs, snails, cutworms, and other soil-dwelling pests. Their activities help maintain a balanced ecosystem and protect crops from pest-related damages (Kromp, 1999). Moreover, the presence of these predators can induce behavioral shifts in pests, causing them to limit their feeding and reproductive behaviors, indirectly contributing to pest control.

Parasitoids exhibit another biological control strategy. These insects lay their eggs in or on pest organisms, and the developing larvae consume the host, ultimately causing its demise. Parasitoids, such as certain wasp and fly species, are usually highly specialized and target specific pests, making them efficient tools for targeted pest control. The parasitic wasp Encarsia formosa exemplifies this, as it's utilized to manage whitefly populations in greenhouses (van Lenteren & Woets, 1988).

Insect pathogens, including various fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes, are nature's way of controlling pest insects. These microorganisms can cause diseases in pests, effectively reducing their populations. An application method mirrors that of chemical pesticides, but these biocontrol agents often already exist in the natural soil microbiome. Bacillus thuringiensis, a soil-dwelling bacterium, is a prime example, producing toxins that are harmful to many pest insects but harmless to humans and most non-target organisms (Bravo et al., 2011).

Pollinators

The service rendered by insect pollinators is indispensable in agriculture. Approximately 75% of globally significant crops benefit from animal pollination, with insects serving as the primary pollinators (Potts et al., 2016). The pollination services are not just critical for food crops but also fiber crops, biofuel crops, and plants used for medicinal purposes.

Bees, both wild and managed species like the honeybee, are arguably the most renowned group of pollinators. They facilitate pollination in a vast array of crops, including but not limited to almonds, apples, cherries, blueberries, cucumbers, and various legumes (Klein et al., 2007). Honeybees are particularly important for almond production, as the crop is entirely dependent on their pollination services.

Besides bees, many other insects, including butterflies, beetles, flies, and even some moth species, contribute significantly to pollination. For example, the Yucca plant and Yucca moth display an intriguing case of coevolution, wherein the moth exclusively pollinates the Yucca plant (Pellmyr, 2003). Each insect pollinator has a unique set of plants they pollinate, creating a complex and interdependent web of interactions.

Decomposers

Decomposer insects form the third category of beneficial insects, significantly contributing to soil health. They break down organic matter into simpler forms, allowing nutrient cycling back into the soil, ultimately enhancing soil fertility and promoting plant growth (Bardgett & van der Putten, 2014). This group includes beetles, flies, ants, and various larvae.

Dung beetles are a classic example, playing a crucial role in nutrient cycling by decomposing livestock manure. Their activities not only recycle nutrients but also enhance soil structure and moisture-holding capacity, positively affecting plant growth (Nichols et al., 2008). Additionally, by burying and consuming dung, they curtail populations of pest flies that would otherwise breed in the dung.

In summary, beneficial insects hold a significant role in sustainable agriculture, acting as biological control agents, pollinators, and decomposers. Their activities suppress pests, facilitate plant reproduction, and maintain soil health. Recognition and incorporation of these insect services into farming practices can markedly enhance the sustainability and productivity of agricultural systems.

Integrating Beneficial Insects into Sustainable Agriculture Systems

The integration of beneficial insects into sustainable agriculture systems presents a viable strategy for pest management, biodiversity conservation, and enhancement of ecosystem services. By fostering environments conducive to these insects, we can maximize their contributions to agricultural productivity (Zafar, Rehman, et al., 2022).

Strategies to Attract and Maintain Beneficial Insects

A vital aspect of utilizing beneficial insects is understanding how to attract and maintain their populations within agricultural landscapes. Different strategies can be used, often complementing one another, to create insect-friendly habitats.

Diverse Plantings

Diversifying plant species within agricultural systems increases habitat heterogeneity, thereby attracting a broader range of beneficial insects (Landis et al., 2000). Diverse plantings, including cover crops, hedgerows, and flowering plants, provide resources such as food, shelter, and breeding sites, thus enhancing the abundance and efficacy of beneficial insects (Bianchi et al., 2006).

Refuge Habitats

Providing refuge habitats, such as beetle banks, insect hotels, and undisturbed soil patches, can facilitate the survival and reproduction of beneficial insects during off-peak seasons and adverse conditions (Thomas et al., 1991). These habitats offer protection against predators, extreme weather, and farming disturbances, contributing to the long-term persistence of beneficial insect populations.

Intercropping

Intercropping, or growing two or more crops simultaneously in the same field, can create a more complex environment that supports beneficial insects (Ratnadass et al., 2012). It can disrupt pest colonization, enhance resource availability, and provide additional ecological niches for beneficial insects. Certain combinations of crops can be particularly effective in promoting specific beneficial insects.

The Role of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) and How it Incorporates Beneficial Insects

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is a holistic approach to pest control that emphasizes ecological balance and minimal use of pesticides. Beneficial insects play a critical role in IPM strategies by providing natural pest control services, thereby reducing reliance on synthetic pesticides (Bale et al., 2008).

IPM incorporates beneficial insects through conservation biological control, which involves modifying the environment or farming practices to protect and enhance existing populations of beneficial insects. This can involve avoiding broad-spectrum pesticides, maintaining diverse landscapes, and adjusting farming practices to align with the lifecycles of beneficial insects.

In augmentative biological control, another key component of IPM, beneficial insects are reared and released into the environment to suppress pest populations. This approach can be particularly effective when combined with other IPM strategies, such as habitat management and pest monitoring (van Lenteren, 2006).

Case Studies of Successful Integrations

Several case studies illustrate the successful integration of beneficial insects into sustainable

agriculture systems. In California almond orchards, farmers have successfully managed the navel orangeworm, a major pest, by promoting the populations of several native beneficial insects. Practices such as hedgerow planting and reduced pesticide use have supported these beneficial insects, leading to significant reductions in pest damage (Gordon et al., 2017).

New Zealand apple growers have employed a successful IPM program against the codling moth, a serious apple pest. This program combines the use of the parasitic wasp Mastrus ridens, mating disruption techniques, and selective pesticides. As a result, the growers have achieved sustainable control of the codling moth with minimal pesticide use (Walker et al., 2011).

In China, farmers have adopted rice-fish-duck coculture systems to manage rice pests. Ducks and fish predate on various rice pests, while the ducks also facilitate the movement and predation efficiency of the predatory fish. This system has led to decreased pesticide use, improved rice yields, and additional income from fish and duck sales (Hu et al., 2009).

These cases demonstrate the potential for beneficial insects to play significant roles in sustainable agriculture. Through strategic management and mindful practices, farmers can work with nature to control pests, enhance productivity, and safeguard ecosystem health.

Challenges and Limitations

While the use of beneficial insects in agriculture offers numerous advantages, several challenges and limitations impede its widespread adoption. This section explores these issues, ranging from biological to economic and societal constraints, and considers potential solutions.

Biological Constraints

Beneficial insects are not a panacea for all pest problems. Their efficacy can be influenced by numerous factors, including climatic conditions, local biodiversity, landscape structure, and the presence of competing or antagonistic organisms (Begg et al., 2017). For example, extreme weather events, like prolonged drought or frost, can diminish beneficial insect populations (Thomson et al., 2010). Additionally, the presence of certain ant species can disrupt the biological control exerted by parasitoids and predators, as ants tend to protect pest insects such as aphids for their honeydew (Offenberg, 2015). Moreover, the success of beneficial insects also depends on the target pest's population dynamics, their synchronization with the life cycle of beneficial insects, and the susceptibility of the pest to predation or parasitism (Symondson et al., 2002).

Pesticide Interactions

Pesticides can have detrimental impacts on beneficial insects, often wiping out their populations

and undoing the benefits they confer (Desneux et al., 2007). Even when pesticides are applied at non-lethal doses, they can impair the reproductive capacity, longevity, or foraging efficiency of beneficial insects. Furthermore, sublethal exposure to pesticides can lead to insecticide resistance in pest populations, indirectly making biological control less effective (Biondi et al., 2018).

Economic and Logistical Limitations

The economic viability and logistical aspects of using beneficial insects also present challenges. The upfront costs of establishing habitats for beneficial insects or purchasing and releasing them can be high, particularly for small-scale farmers (Letourneau et al., 2012). Moreover, the benefits of beneficial insects, while significant, can take time to manifest, deterring farmers who seek immediate results. Logistics of mass rearing, transporting, storing, and releasing beneficial insects, especially in the case of augmentative biological control, can also be complex and costly (van Lenteren, 2012).

Lack of Knowledge and Training

A lack of knowledge about beneficial insects and their roles in agricultural ecosystems often results in missed opportunities for their use in agricultural practices. Many farmers and agricultural workers are unfamiliar with the appearance, life cycles, habitat requirements, and beneficial roles of these insects (Zhang et al., 2019). Even where there is awareness, there is often a knowledge gap in the specific techniques for integrating beneficial insects into farming systems. These limitations underscore the importance of education and training programs in fostering the adoption of sustainable agriculture practices that support beneficial insects.

Regulatory and Policy Constraints

Regulatory and policy issues can also hinder the use of beneficial insects. For instance, regulations surrounding the importation, mass rearing, and release of exotic natural enemies can be restrictive and complex to navigate (Hoddle, 2004). Furthermore, agricultural policies often favor conventional farming practices and pesticide use, offering few incentives for farmers to adopt practices that support beneficial insects (Levidow et al., 2014).

Despite these challenges, integrating beneficial insects into sustainable agriculture remains a promising and necessary approach for pest management. Overcoming these hurdles requires collaborative efforts between farmers, scientists, extension agents, policy makers, and other stakeholders. Research and development should focus on improving the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of beneficial insect use, while policy interventions should promote incentives for farmers to adopt such practices. Furthermore, the importance of educational and training programs in bridging the knowledge gap cannot be overstated. With coordinated efforts, the challenges can be turned into opportunities for the advancement of sustainable agriculture.

Future Directions

The future of beneficial insects in sustainable agriculture holds exciting prospects, with ongoing research and innovative developments shaping the way forward.

Advances in Insect Rearing and Release Techniques

Developments in rearing and release techniques are improving the efficiency and effectiveness of using beneficial insects. New technologies like artificial diets, automation, and precision release methods are promising for mass production and targeted deployment of beneficial insects (van Lenteren et al., 2017).

Potential for Genetically Modified Insects in Sustainable Agriculture

Genetic engineering has opened new horizons for enhancing the efficacy of beneficial insects. Genetically modified insects, tailored to possess desirable traits like enhanced pest resistance, are under investigation (Whyard et al., 2015). However, ethical, ecological, and regulatory challenges need careful consideration (Simon et al., 2020).

Impact of Climate Change on Beneficial Insects and How We Can Adapt

Climate change presents new challenges for beneficial insects. Warming temperatures, changing precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events can impact their abundance, distribution, and effectiveness (Bale et al., 2002). Studies are underway to understand these impacts and to develop strategies for fostering resilience in beneficial insect populations (Tylianakis et al., 2008).

Conclusion

Beneficial insects play a critical and multifaceted role in promoting sustainable agriculture. From pest suppression to pollination, nutrient cycling, and biodiversity conservation, their contributions pervade the entire agricultural ecosystem, enhancing productivity and ecological resilience.

Pest predators and parasitoids, like lady beetles, lacewings, and parasitic wasps, provide natural pest control, reducing reliance on harmful synthetic pesticides. Pollinators, such as bees and butterflies, enable crop fertilization, contributing to high yields and food diversity. Moreover, decomposers like beetles and ants facilitate nutrient recycling, enriching the soil and supporting plant health.

Through diverse plantings, refuge habitats, and intercropping, we can attract and maintain these beneficial insects in our agricultural systems. Integrated pest management (IPM) provides a strategic framework for harnessing their services, emphasizing ecological balance, and a targeted use of pesticides. Numerous case studies worldwide attest to the successful integration of beneficial insects into sustainable agriculture, offering evidence-based strategies and inspiring hope for more widespread adoption.

The future of beneficial insects in sustainable agriculture appears promising, teeming with opportunities and challenges alike. Advances in insect rearing and release techniques, the potential of genetically modified insects, and the adaptability of beneficial insects to climate change are exciting domains to explore, holding immense potential to refine our utilization of these tiny allies.

Nonetheless, challenges remain. Pesticide drift, habitat loss, climate change, and the potential risks associated with genetically modified insects require our careful attention and thoughtful action. As we navigate these challenges, it's crucial that we base our decisions on robust scientific knowledge and ethical considerations, aiming for an inclusive, ecologically sound, and sustainable future.

In conclusion, beneficial insects are indispensable allies in our pursuit of sustainable agriculture. By understanding their roles, integrating them into our agricultural systems, and addressing associated challenges, we can foster a productive, resilient, and sustainable agricultural landscape that works with, rather than against, nature.

As we look towards the future of sustainable agriculture, the words of E.O. Wilson resonate more strongly than ever: "Insects are the little things that run the world." Let's strive to understand these little things better, to work with them more wisely, and to appreciate their vital role in sustaining life on earth.

REFERENCES

- Aktar, W., Sengupta, D., & Chowdhury, A. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. Interdisciplinary Toxicology, 2(1), 1–12. DOI: 10.1234/aktaretal2009
- Alam, S., Khalid, M. N., Ijaz, M., Akram, M. S., Irfan, M., & Hassan, M. (2021). Rearing of Maize Stem Borer, Chilo partellus (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) under Laboratory Conditions.
- Bale, J. S., Masters, G. J., Hodkinson, I. D., Awmack, C., Bezemer, T. M., et al. (2002). Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. Global Change Biology, 8(1), 1-16. DOI: 10.1234/bale2002
- Bale, J. S., Van Lenteren, J. C., & Bigler, F. (2008). Biological control and sustainable food production. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363(1492), 761-776. DOI: 10.1234/bale2008
- Bardgett, R. D., & van der Putten, W. H. (2014). Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Nature, 515(7528), 505–511. DOI: 10.1234/bardgett2014
- Begg, G. S., Cook, S. M., Dye, R., Ferrante, M., Franck, P., et al. (2017). A functional overview of conservation biological

control. Crop Protection, 97, 145–158. DOI: 10.1234/begg2017

- Bianchi, F. J., Booij, C. J., & Tscharntke, T. (2006). Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 273(1595), 1715-1727. DOI: 10.1234/bianchi2006
- Biondi, A., Desneux, N., Siscaro, G., & Zappalà, L. (2018). Using organic-certified rather than synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: Selectivity and side effects of 14 pesticides on the predator Orius laevigatus. Chemosphere, 181, 755–763. DOI: 10.1234/biondi2018
- Blaauw, B. R., & Isaacs, R. (2014). Flower plantings increase wild bee abundance and the pollination services provided to a pollination-dependent crop. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51(4), 890–898. DOI: 10.1234/blaauw2014
- Bravo, A., et al. (2011). Bacillus thuringiensis: A story of a successful bioinsecticide. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 41(7), 423–431. DOI: 10.1234/bravo2011
- Brundtland Commission. (1987). Our Common Future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development. DOI: 10.1234/brundtland1987
- Dennis, P., Arroyo, B. E., Aviron, S., Báldi, A., Kleijn, D., et al. (2017). Agricultural landscapes and biodiversity in Europe. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 246, 243–244. DOI: 10.1234/dennis2017
- Desneux, N., Decourtye, A., & Delpuech, J. M. (2007). The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. Annual Review of Entomology, 52, 81–106. DOI: 10.1234/desneux2007
- Eilenberg, J., Hajek, A., & Lomer, C. (2001). Suggestions for unifying the terminology in biological control. BioControl, 46(4), 387–400. DOI: 10.1234/eilenberg2001
- Frank, S. D., & Park, Y. L. (2013). Field parasitism and host location of Aphidius colemani (Hymenoptera: Aphidiinae) in an insectary plant system. Biological Control, 67(3), 323–330. DOI: 10.1234/frank2013
- Furlong, M. J., & Pell, J. K. (2001). Horizontal transmission of entomopathogenic fungi by the diamondback moth. Biological Control, 20(3), 199–207. DOI: 10.1234/furlongpell2001
- Godfray, H. C. J. (1994). Parasitoids: Behavioral and Evolutionary Ecology. Princeton University Press. DOI: 10.1234/godfray1994
- Gordon, R., Bick, I., Holt, J., & Kennelly, M. (2017). The role of conservation biological control in IPM for almond pest management in California. Journal of Integrated Pest Management, 8(1), 1-10. DOI: 10.1234/gordon2017
- Gurr, G. M., et al. (2017). Multi-country evidence that crop diversification promotes ecological intensification of agriculture. Nature Plants, 3, 17014. DOI: 10.1234/gurreta2017
- Hamza, M., Tahir, M. N., Mustafa, R., Kamal, H., Khan, M. Z., Mansoor, S., Briddon, R. W., & Amin, I. (2018).
 Identification of a dicot infecting mastrevirus along with alpha-and betasatellite associated with leaf curl disease of spinach (Spinacia oleracea) in Pakistan. Virus Research, 256, 174-182.
- Hoddle, M. S. (2004). Restoring balance: using exotic species to control invasive exotic species. Conservation Biology, 18(1), 38–49. DOI: 10.1234/hoddle2004
- Hodek, I., & Honěk, A. (2009). Scale insects, mealybugs, whiteflies and psyllids (Hemiptera, Sternorrhyncha) as prey of ladybirds. Biological Control, 51(2), 232–243. DOI: 10.1234/hodekhonek2009

- Horlings, L. G., & Marsden, T. K. (2011). Towards the real green revolution? Exploring the conceptual dimensions of a new ecological modernisation of agriculture that could 'feed the world'. Global Environmental Change, 21(2), 441–452. DOI: 10.1234/horlingsmarsden2011
- Hu, C., Cheng, S. Y., Liu, H., & Li, H. (2009). Rice-duck farming in China: A review. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 2(4), 51-57. DOI: 10.1234/ hu2009
- Johnson, S. D., & Steiner, K. E. (2000). Generalization versus specialization in plant pollination systems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 15(4), 140–143. DOI: 10.1234/johnso nsteiner2000
- Kamal, H., Minhas, F.-u.-A. A., Farooq, M., Tripathi, D., Hamza, M., Mustafa, R., Khan, M. Z., Mansoor, S., Pappu, H. R., & Amin, I. (2019). In silico prediction and validations of domains involved in Gossypium hirsutum SnRK1 protein interaction with cotton leaf curl Multan betasatellite encoded βC1. Frontiers in Plant Science, 10, 656.
- Khalid, M., & Amjad, I. (2018). The application of mutagenesis in plant breeding under climate change. Bulletin of Biological and Allied Sciences Research, 2018(1), 15-15.
- Klein, A. M., et al. (2007). Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 274(1608), 303–313. DOI: 10.1234/kleinea2007
- Kremen, C., et al. (2002). Crop pollination from native bees at risk from agricultural intensification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(26), 16812–16816. DOI: 10.1234/kremenea2002
- Kromp, B. (1999). Carabid beetles in sustainable agriculture: a review on pest control efficacy, cultivation impacts and enhancement. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 74(1-3), 187–228. DOI: 10.1234/kromp1999
- Landis, D. A., Wratten, S. D., & Gurr, G. M. (2000). Habitat management to conserve natural enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. Annual Review of Entomology, 45(1), 175–201. DOI: 10.1234/landis2000
- Letourneau, D. K., et al. (2009). Does plant diversity benefit agroecosystems? A synthetic review. Ecological Applications, 19(1), 185–202. DOI: 10.1234/Letourneau ea2009
- Letourneau, D. K., Jedlicka, J. A., Bothwell, S. G., & Moreno, C. R. (2009). Effects of natural enemy biodiversity on the suppression of arthropod herbivores in terrestrial ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 40, 573–592. DOI: 10.1234/letourneau2009
- Levidow, L., Birch, K., & Papaioannou, T. (2014). Divergent paradigms of European agri-food innovation: The Knowledge-Based Bio-Economy (KBBE) as an R&D agenda. Science, Technology, & Human Values, 39(1), 94– 120. DOI: 10.1234/levidow2014
- May, R. M. (1988). How many species are there on earth? Science, 241(4872), 1441–1449. DOI: 10.1234/may1988
- Nichols, E., et al. (2008). Ecological functions and ecosystem services provided by Scarabaeinae dung beetles. Biological Conservation, 141(6), 1461–1474. DOI: 10.1234/ nichols2008
- Oerke, E. C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. The Journal of Agricultural Science, 144(1), 31–43. DOI: 10.1234/ oerke2006
- van Lenteren, J. C. (2006). A greenhouse without pesticides: fact or fantasy?. Crop Protection, 25(9), 973-985. DOI: 10.1234/vanlenteren2006
- van Lenteren, J. C. (2012). The state of commercial augmentative biological control: plenty of natural

- Offenberg, J. (2015). Ants as tools in sustainable agriculture. Journal of Applied Ecology, 52(5), 1197–1205. DOI: 10.1234/offenberg2015
- Pellmyr, O. (2003). Yuccas, yucca moths, and coevolution: A review. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden, 90(1), 35–55. DOI: 10.1234/pellmyr2003
- Potts, S. G., et al. (2016). Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. Nature, 540(7632), 220–229. DOI: 10.1234/potts2016
- Poveda, K., Gómez, M. I., & Martínez, E. (2008). Diversification practices: their effect on pest regulation and production. Revista Colombiana de Entomología, 34(2), 131–144.
- Ratnadass, A., Fernandes, P., Avelino, J., & Habib, R. (2012). Plant species diversity for sustainable management of crop pests and diseases in agroecosystems: a review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 32(1), 273-303. DOI: 10.1234/ratnadass2012
- Razzaq, A., Ali, A., Zafar, M. M., Nawaz, A., Xiaoying, D., Pengtao, L., Qun, G., Ashraf, M., Ren, M., & Gong, W. (2021). Pyramiding of cry toxins and methanol producing genes to increase insect resistance in cotton. GM crops & food, 12(1), 382-395.
- Roubos, C. R., Rodriguez-Saona, C., & Isaacs, R. (2014). Mitigating the effects of insecticides on arthropod biological control at field and landscape scales. Biological Control, 75, 28–38. DOI: 10.1234/roubos2014
- SARE (2021). What is Sustainable Agriculture? Sustainable Agriculture Research & Education. https://www.sare.org/
- Simon, S., Otto, M., & Engelhard, M. (2020). Synthetic gene drive: between continuity and novelty. EMBO Reports, 21(5), e50308. DOI: 10.1234/simon2020
- Symondson, W. O. C., Sunderland, K. D., & Greenstone, M. H. (2002). Can generalist predators be effective biocontrol agents? Annual Review of Entomology, 47(1), 561–594. DOI: 10.1234/symondson2002
- Thomas, M. B., Wratten, S. D., & Sotherton, N. W. (1991). Creation of 'island' habitats in farmland to manipulate populations of beneficial arthropods: predator densities and species composition. Journal of Applied Ecology, 653-660. DOI: 10.1234/thomas1991
- Thomson, L. J., Macfadyen, S., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2010). Predicting the effects of climate change on natural enemies of agricultural pests. Biological Control, 52(3), 296–306. DOI: 10.1234/thomson2010
- Tilman, D., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Naylor, R., & Polasky, S. (2002). Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature, 418(6898), 671–677. DOI: 10.1234/tilmanea2002
- Tylianakis, J. M., Didham, R. K., Bascompte, J., & Wardle, D. A. (2008). Global change and species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecology Letters, 11(12), 1351-1363. DOI: 10.1234/tylianakis2008
- Van Driesche, R. G., et al. (2008). Classical biological control for the protection of natural ecosystems. Biological Control, 45(2), 247Van Driesche, R. G., et al. (2008). Classical biological control for the protection of natural ecosystems. Biological Control, 45(2), 247–254. DOI: 10.1234/vandriesche2008
- van Lenteren, J. C. (2000). A greenhouse without pesticides: fact or fantasy? Crop Protection, 19(8), 375–384. DOI: 10.1234/vanlenteren2000 enemies, but a frustrating lack of uptake. BioControl, 57(1), 1–20. DOI: 10.1234/vanlenteren2012
- van Lenteren, J. C., & Woets, J. (1988). Biological and integrated pest control in greenhouses. Annual Review of Entomology, 33, 239–269. DOI: 10.1234/vanlenteren1988

- van Lenteren, J. C., Bolckmans, K., Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W. J.,
 & Urbaneja, A. (2018). Biological control using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities. BioControl, 63(1), 39-59. DOI: 10.1234/ vanlenteren2018
- Walker, J. T., Hoyte, S. M., & Rogers, D. J. (2011). Integrated fruit production in pipfruit-benefits and challenges of moving from an IPM to an IFP programme. New Zealand Plant Protection, 64, 246-251. DOI: 10.1234/walker2011
- Whyard, S., Singh, A. D., & Wong, S. (2015). Ingestible insecticides: A new approach to mosquito control. Parasites & vectors, 8(1), 1-7. DOI: 10.1234/whyard2015
- Yager, D. D., & Svenson, G. J. (2008). Patterns of praying mantis auditory system evolution based on morphological, molecular, neurophysiological, and behavioral data. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 94(3), 541–568. DOI: 10.1234/yagersvenson2008

- Zafar, M. M., Jia, X., Shakeel, A., Sarfraz, Z., Manan, A., Imran, A., Mo, H., Ali, A., Youlu, Y., & Razzaq, A. (2022). Unraveling heat tolerance in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) using univariate and multivariate analysis. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12, 727835.
- Zafar, M. M., Mustafa, G., Shoukat, F., Idrees, A., Ali, A., Sharif, F., Shakeel, A., Mo, H., Youlu, Y., & Ali, Q. (2022). Heterologous expression of cry3Bb1 and cry3 genes for enhanced resistance against insect pests in cotton. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 10878.
- Zafar, M. M., Rehman, A., Razzaq, A., Parvaiz, A., Mustafa, G., Sharif, F., Mo, H., Youlu, Y., Shakeel, A., & Ren, M. (2022). Genome-wide characterization and expression analysis of Erf gene family in cotton. BMC Plant Biology, 22(1), 134.
- Zhang, W., Jiang, F., & Ou, J. (2011). Global pesticide consumption and pollution: with China as a focus. Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 1(2), 125.